

FPRF Sprinkler Research to Support Modern Day Fire Challenges

Fire Sprinkler International 2023

May 31, 2023 | Victoria Hutchison, Senior Research Project Manager, FPRF







RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION





North Carolina QVC Warehouse

- December 18, 2021
- "Largest Structure Fire in NC History"
- 1 Fatality

• 74 FDs – 10 days – 722 hrs.

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION

- 1.5 million Sq ft
- Will Not Rebuild
- Under Investigation



i de



IL Records Storage Warehouse

- February 2, 2022
- 250,000 ft² Records Storage in Corrugated Boxes to >50 ft
- ESFR sprinklers
 - 9:44 Initial waterflow alarm
 - 9:50 Working Fire
 - 10:14 First Collapse
 - 11:30 Sprinklers Shut Down
 - 12:20 AS turned back on
 - 12:55 2nd major collapse and compromise of sprinkler system



Agenda

- Landscape of Modern Warehouse Characteristics and Challenges with High Hazard Storage:
 - Impact of Elevated Walkways in Storage on Sprinkler Protection
 - Protection for Multi-Row Rack Storage Arrangements
 - Automated Storage and Retrieval Systems
 - Firefighting Guidance for Sprinklered High-Hazard Storage Facilities
- Fire Protection Challenges associated with Electrification
 - EVs and ESS
 - Impact on infrastructure (e.g., parking structures, RoRo's, etc.)



Impact of Elevated Walkways on Sprinkler Protection







When is this type of installation considered a problem from a sprinkler protection standpoint (i.e. water isn't delivered to intended surface)?
At what point do walkways interfere with pre-wetting of adjacent arrays?





Rack/Mezzanine Configuration Examples









Solid Shelf/Walkway

Solid Shelving

Perforated Shelf/Walkway

Perforated Shelf/Walkway

No Obstructions

Actual Delivered Density to Floor





Actual Delivered

Density to Floor





Experiments

φ

M_

H

					K-Factor	11.2	17	22
Mo	deling Pa	rameters			Sprinkler	1	2	3
Ва	seline No	Mezzanine		(j	7, 20, 50		NA	
D (in) = 1/4,	3/8, 1/2, 3/4	, 1.0	sd)				
L (in) = 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6			ressure	7, 20, 50	W - 36 <i>4</i> 4 52 60			
W _i (in) = 1/8, 1/4, 3/8					vv _T - 30, 44, 32, 00			
Ws	; (in) = 1/2	, 3/4, 1, 1.25	, 1.5	4				
	→ ← Wi	D ₩s	W _L					







RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION



RESEARCH FOUNDATION

FDS Simulation

Simulation Details			
Domain	2 m x 2 m x 5 m		
	6.5 ft x 6.5 ft x 16.4 ft		
Mesh Size	0.025 m x 0.025 m x 0.025 n		
	0.082 ft x 0.082 ft x 0.082 ft		
Heatmap Quantity	Accumulated mass of water per unit area		
Sprinkler Elevation	4.8 m (15.75 ft) (centered)		
Walkway Dimensions	1 m wide / 0.05 m x 0.05 m cross section / 0.05 m gaps		
Walkway Elevation	2.5 m (8.2 ft)		
Modeled Sprinkler	K16.8/ 45 psi		







Key Takeaways

- What % of spray altered is acceptable to the industry, needs to be determined (15%, 25%, 35%, ???)
- Sprinklers with strong downward central cores (170-190°) less impact to spray
- Smaller droplets (less momentum) transition to primarily vertical velocity component earlier, larger drops later
- Percentage of openness is not only driver of blockage, horizontal component of droplet velocity also what drives obstruction with grating
- Phase I and forthcoming Phase II report will be available at www.nfpa.org/foundation





Resources & Future Work

- All content accessible at <u>www.nfpa.org/foundation</u>
 - − Research Reports \rightarrow Suppression
- Phase II report will be published: June 2023
- Future Work

RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION

- Phase III: Large Scale Testing and Model Validation
- June 2023 June 2024

🐼 👩 RESEARCH FOUNDATION pact of Elevated Walkways in Storage on Sprinkler Protection - Phase I "rotection" Phase II project weeks to address knowledge gaps related to the impact ways and mezzanines on sprinkler performance. anal of this project is to further the efforts begun in Phase Lin which a detailed literature vided guidance. Based on the gap analysis a research plan was developed to fill th If the grate's influence on the plume, or the impact of pre-wetting of adjacent racks. Ultimately th Impact of Elevated Walkways in Storage on Sprinkler Protection: Phase 1 piect Goal & Approac ared by the FPRF Property Insurance Research Group (PIRG) CNA FM Tunit The Liberty Mutual A FIRE& RISK

Verisk TRAVELERS

Sprinkler Protection for Multiple-Row Rack Storage Systems – Phase I



Racking Arrangements Commonly Used Today





Deviations from Traditional Open Frame Configurations



A) Single-row racks storage individual cartons or bin boxes

RESEARCH FOUNDATION



 B) Multiple-row racks with only transverse flues (often referred to as flow racks)



C) Multiple-row racks with only longitudinal flues (narrow aisles)



RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION









Fire Protection Guidance Questions

Guidance for the protection of multiple-row rack storage configuration exists Is it adequate?

Some of the questions raised by the NFPA 13 committee, and other stakeholders are:

- What is the minimum flue/aisle size and spacing needed to stop horizontal fire spread for the different storage configurations?
- How to identify storage configurations where in-rack sprinkler protection is needed, versus when ceiling-only sprinkler protection is adequate? This includes when storage heights are exceeded, flue spacing does not meet minimum requirements, and storage depths are exceeded.
- What other potential mitigation options could be applied (e.g., aisles/aisle width, vertical barriers, leaving pallet positions open to simulate an aisle/a break in the storage, etc.)?
- What tools/resources can be provided to inform fire service response to a fire in a multiple-row rack storage warehouse? How does the fire service get access to the storage?
- Clarify the definition of net flue spacing established in Data Sheet 8-9 versus nominal flue spacing established in NFPA 13.

Research Goal

- The overall goal of this research program is to develop guidance on sprinkler protection for multiple-row racks including determining a scientifically based definition of open racking for multiple-row racks as well as developing guidance on appropriate sprinkler protection and/or other mitigation options (e.g., aisle spacing, vertical barriers) for multiple-row racks that do not meet the definition of open racking.
- There will be an emphasis on identifying storage configurations where results from large-scale fire tests with double-row racks can be applied to multiple-row racks.
- This project is a Phase 1 that involves a literature review, gap analysis, and development of a research plan to work toward the overall goal.

Project Tasks

- 1. Review of technical substantiation for existing regulatory requirements.
- 2. Past Fire Test Data. Identify, clarify, and review any past fire tests in the literature that are relevant to multiple-row rack storage.
- **3. Develop definitions** for storage arrangements that could be classified as open racking for multiple-row racks.
- 4. Identify **knowledge gaps** regarding the fire behavior and sprinkler protection of multiple-row racks
- 5. Test Plan. Develop a prioritized plan for fullscale fire testing to inform sprinkler protection guidance for storage with multiple-row racks that will be implemented in a future phase. The goal of the testing will be to develop protection recommendations for storage in multiple-row racks.





Guidance for Fighting Fires in High Hazard Storage Facilities: Review of Best Practices & Research Plan



Background

- Sprinklers are commonly used in high-hazard storage facilities and must be accounted for during firefighting efforts.
- Sprinklers are intended to "control" the fire, and sometimes suppress.
- Most incidents will require fire service intervention to achieve final fire extinguishment.
- To achieve final extinguishment, a complex sequence of events occurs, starting with a pre-incident plan and ends with final extinguishment and completion of salvage operations.



Project Need

- How to identify goals of property protection and life safety of firefighters?
- How to assess capabilities of the fire service responding to the incident?
- What are the minimum details required for the Pre-Incident Plan?
- How to identify the resources/tools available to fight the fire?
- How to identify when it is safe to perform an interior attack on a fire?
- How to identify rescue operations?
- When is the fire considered extinguished?
- When should automatic sprinklers be turned off and fire pump returned to standby mode?
- When and how should the building be ventilated?
- How will areas of high-rack storage (fixed racking & Automatic Retrieval Systems) be accessed?
- How will the fire-damaged stock be handled?

27



Research Goal

Program Goal: The overall goal of this research program is to develop guidance for fighting fires in high hazard storage facilities, including large warehouses and big box retail stores protected by automatic sprinklers.

Phase Goal: The goal of this project is to compile and review information on best practices for fighting fires in high hazard storage facilities and develop a research plan.





Project Tasks

Task 1: Literature Review & Gap Analysis

- Guidance/best practices for fighting fires in sprinkler protected buildings, to validate the role of the fire service, property owners, facility managers and the insurance industry on pre-incident planning.
- Literature on the final fire extinguishment of storage fires.
- Literature review of best practices of fire service ventilation on large commercial structures.
- Case study interviews demonstrating success stories from various perspectives.

Task 2: Gap Analysis

- Task 3: Focus Group Meetings
- Task 4: Research Plan (research projects to fill gaps)



Protection for Lithium-ion Battery Applications

Lithium ion batteries C 2008058816 CAUTION 9' 6.5" HIGH 8' 6" WIDE

CONTAINER



Impact of Lithium-ion Batteries







RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION















Battery Research at the Research Foundation

General Hazard Characterization



Heat Release Rate (HRR) Fire load/ Fire behavior Gas Release / Toxicity Vapor Cloud Explosion Fire Spread Potential Impact on Infrastructure



Commercial Environments

(e.g., parking garages, warehouses, manufacturing plants)

Residential Environments (e.g., home garages, etc)

Marine Environments (e.g., RoRo's, containerships, ferrys) Emergency Response Considerations



Tactical considerations

(e.g., various suppression strategies, cooling efficiency, impact on stranded energy risk)

Training



ESEARCH FOUNDATION

Define the Hazard - Vehicle Fire Test Data



Ignition source | Ignition location | Vehicle size | Vehicle Material Composition | Fuel source (e.g., Battery Capacity) | Ventilation



Impacts on Infrastructure



- Larger Cars
- Less spacing
- Denser Configurations (e.g., stackers)
- Higher plastic content (+91%)
- Introduction of alternative fuels

ESEARCH FOUNDATION

- Older vehicles are different from modern vehicles today in:
 - Curb weight
 - Plastic type and content
 - Chemical energy from plastic (it has doubled since 1970s)
 - Spread potential (modern vehicles can spread prior to FD arrival).
- However, vehicles from any decade can yield 8 MW+ (pending test configuration)
- Greater probability of fire spread beyond vehicle of origin

Parking Garage fires are still **relatively rare**. But have huge potential for **significant consequences** and **large economic losses** if left unmitigated.



Non-sprinklered at 10 min

Impact on Infrastructure

- Fire can generally spread from one vehicle to another within 10 min; Spreads faster as more vehicles get involved.(as fast as 30 s per vehicle, once multiple are involved (Liverpool experience)
 - EV tests have shown heat flux measurements of 40
 100+ kw/m²
 - Critical heat fluxes for other items::
 - Bumper: 17.5 kW/m²
 - Fuel tank: 16.5 kW/m²
 - Tires: 11 kW/m²

ESEARCH FOUNDATION

- 1000°C+ ceiling jet temperature pre-heats vehicle and aids spread
- Concrete spalling can occur at ~374 380 C (material temp);
 Weakening of steel at 550 C steel temperature exposure
 - Many tests have observed these temperatures after 5 min.
- Evidence of **sprinkler systems being effective** at controlling a vehicle fire, and preventing spread.



Sprinklered at 1 hr 23 min





Parking Garage Fire Experience with Sprinklers

2014 – 2018 Annual Averages

Summary of automatic extinguishing system (AES) presence and type in reported parking garage structure fire incidents

ESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION

AES Presence of Type	Fir	es	Civili: Injuri	an es	Direct P Dam (in Mil	roperty 1age llions)
AES present	28	(6%)	3	(35%)	\$1.3	(9%)
Sprinkler present	26	(5%)	3	(35%)	\$1.3	(9%)
Wet	1	13 (3%)	2	(25%)	\$1.	3 (9%)
Dry	1	(3%)	1	(10%)	\$	0 (0%)
Other		1 (0%)	0	(0%)	\$	0 (0%)
Unclassified AES present	2	(0%)	0	(0%)	\$0	(0%)
Partial system AES of any type	2	(0%)	0	(0%)	\$0	(0%)
No AES present	447	(94%)	5	(65%)	\$14.2	(91%)
Total	476	(100%)	8 (100%)	\$15.6	(100%)
ires (Annual Averages) 1994 – 1998: 630 fires 2014 – 2018: 476 fires	<u>Prop</u>	berty Damag 1994 – 1998 2014 – 2018	e (Annu \$6 Milli \$14 2 M	al Average on Villion	<u>s):</u>	
	AES Presence of Type AES present Sprinkler present Wet Dry Other Unclassified AES present Partial system AES of any type No AES present Total ires (Annual Averages) 1994 – 1998: 630 fires 2014 – 2018: 476 fires	AES Presence of TypeFinAES present28Sprinkler present26Wet1Dry1Other1Unclassified AES present2Partial system AES of any type2No AES present447Total476ires (Annual Averages)Prop1994 – 1998: 630 fires2014 – 2018: 476 fires	AES Presence of Type Fires AES present 28 (6%) Sprinkler present 26 (5%) Wet 13 (3%) Dry 12 (3%) Other 1 (0%) Unclassified AES present 2 (0%) Partial system AES of any type 2 (0%) No AES present 447 (94%) Total 476 (100%) ires (Annual Averages) 1994 – 1998: 630 fires 1994 – 1998: 2014 – 2018: 476 fires	AES Presence of Type Fires Injuri AES present 28 (6%) 3 Sprinkler present 26 (5%) 3 Wet 13 (3%) 2 Dry 12 (3%) 2 Dry 12 (3%) 1 Other 1 (0%) 0 Unclassified AES present 2 (0%) 0 Partial system AES of any type 2 (0%) 0 No AES present 447 (94%) 5 Total 476 (100%) 8 (100%) Image: Property Damage (Annual Averages) 1994 – 1998: 630 fires 1994 – 1998: \$6 Million 2014 – 2018: 476 fires 2014 – 2018: \$14.2 Million 1994 – 1998: \$14.2 Million	AES Presence of Type Fires Injuries AES present 28 (6%) 3 (35%) Sprinkler present 26 (5%) 3 (35%) Wet 13 (3%) 2 (25%) Dry 12 (3%) 1 (10%) Other 1 (0%) 0 (0%) Unclassified AES present 2 (0%) 0 (0%) Partial system AES of any type 2 (0%) 0 (0%) No AES present 447 (94%) 5 (65%) Total 476 (100%) 8 (100%) Ires (Annual Averages) 1994 – 1998: \$6 Million 1994 – 1998: \$6 Million 2014 – 2018: \$14 2 Million	Direct P AES Presence of Type Fires Injuries (in Mi AES present 28 (6%) 3 (35%) \$1.3 Sprinkler present 26 (5%) 3 (35%) \$1.3 Wet 13 (3%) 2 (25%) \$1.3 Dry 12 (3%) 2 (25%) \$1.3 Other 1 (0%) 0 (0%) \$1.3 Other 12 (3%) 1 (10%) \$\$ Other 1 (0%) 0 (0%) \$\$ Unclassified AES present 2 (0%) 0 (0%) \$\$ No AES present 447 (94%) 5 (65%) \$\$ \$\$ Total 476 (100%) 8 (100%) \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 1994 - 1998: 630 fires 1994 - 1998: \$6 Million 2014 - 2018: \$14.2 Million 2014 - 2018: \$14.2 Million



Updates to Codes & Standards

RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION



Define the Hazard – ESS Fire Test Data





Sprinkler Performance

- LFP ESS Unit
 - HRR reduced by
 45% through sprinkler protection
 - No propagation to second rack.
- NMC ESS Unit
 - HRR reduced by
 34% through sprinkler protection
 - Caused propagation to adjacent rack





What's going on in the NFPA Standards for ESS?



Standard for the Installation of Stationary Energy Storage Systems

023

NFPA 855, 4.9.2.1 and 4.9.2.2 – Sprinkler System Requirement:

- ESS units with a maximum capacity of 50 kWh:
 - minimum density of 0.3 gpm/ft² based over the area of the room or 2500 ft², whichever is smaller
 - lower density can only be approved based on fire and explosion testing, in accordance with 9.1.5.
- ESS units (groups) > **50 kWh**:
 - density based on fire and explosion testing in accordance with 9.1.5.
- NFPA 855, 4.9.3.1* and 4.9.3.2 Other automatic fire control and suppression systems:
 - Permitted based on reports issued as a result of fire and explosion testing in accordance with 9.1.5.
 - These systems shall comply with the following standards, or their equivalent, as appropriate: NFPA 12, NFPA 15, NFPA 750, NFPA 770, NFPA 2001, and NFPA 2010



Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems 2022

NFPA 13, Table

A.20.4(a) provides examples of commodities not addressed by the classifications in Section 20.4. The commodities listed in Table A.20.4(a) are outside the scope of NFPA 13 protection.

TableA.20.4(a) **Commodities Not Addressed by Classifications** in Section 20.4

 Lithium-ion and other rechargeable batteries that contain combustible electrolyte



RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSIO

Role of Sprinklers on Battery Fires

What can sprinklers do?

- Reduce the fire severity
- Delay or prevent fire spread
- Protect the surroundings

UNDATION

What can't sprinklers do?

- Extinguish the fire
- Avoid collateral (thermal / nonthermal) damage



Active Research Studies at FPRF

EV Research

- Assessment of EV firefighting tactics, techniques and technologies and the impact on stranded energy
- Classification of Modern Vehicle Hazards in Parking Structures – Phase II
- LIB Electric Bus and Depot Fire Prevention & Risk Management
- (*Pending*) Protection guidance for liion battery manufacturing, and EV assembly plant facilities.

ESS Research

- Landscape of Energy Storage System Hazards and Mitigation Strategies (Report & Workshop Proceedings)
- Development of Explosion Prevention/ Control Guidance for Energy Storage Systems – Phase I
- Environmental Impact of Li-ion Battery Fires compared to other common fires.
- Hazard Assessment of ESS being transported in enclosed spaces on marine vessels.



Resources & Future Work

All resources accessible at <u>www.nfpa.org/ess</u> or <u>www.nfpa.org/foundation</u>

New FPRF Consortium:

Energy Storage Research Consortium

Focused on delivering credible research and test data on ESS to the public

RESEARCH FOUNDATION

RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION







Submit a Project Idea

www.nfpa.org/foundation

NATIONAL FIRE PR The leading information and	Try LRK® for Free MY PROFILE SIGN OUT (0) Inowledge resource on fire, electrical and related hazards						
CODES & STANDARDS	SOLUTIONS NEWS & RESEARCH TRAINING & CERTIFICATION PUBLIC EDUCATION MEMBERSHIP EVENTS						
Customer Support	Customer Support / Research Project Idea						
Ordering and payment	* RESEARCH FOUNDATION						
Products & Solutions							
General information	Research Project Idea 6 🗈 🖬 💌 🖿						
Membership	Instructions:						
Online submission of public input and public comments	 This form is intended to gather project ideas from our stakeholders. It is not an application for a research grant. The consideration and implementation of all project ideas will be in accordance with FPRF Policies, Operating Principles, and Vetting Criteria. 						
Manage your preferences/Unsubscribe	 By submitting this form to the FPRF, the submitter acknowledges that the Foundation may conduct a research project by issuing an open request for proposals for a project contractor in accordance with the FPRF Policies (unless waived in certain circumstances). 						
Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities	 A project idea form may be considered for the research Fund selection process. For more information about the Research Fund evaluation process, please visit www.nfpa.org/NFPAresearchfund. To submit a research project idea, complete all fields below and submit the form. Since you cannot save the form and submit the latest inex evaluated the required the r						
Privacy Policy	to submit at a rate inne, we suggest that you complete the required needs using this word document and when you are ready to submit, complete the submission by using the online system. • If you have any questions, please contact us at research@nfpa.org.						
International Orders	Please complete the fields below.						
Terms of Use	Project Type: Select an option						
LINK License Agreement	Small Project (e.g. Literature Review, Gap Analysis, Code Comparisons, Loss Summaries) Large Project (e.g. Fire Testing, Computer Modelling, Field Surveys, Risk Assessments) Workshop (e.g. Research Planning Meeting) Project Concept (e.g. Research concept, project idea that is not fully developed) Advisory Service (e.g. If you need the Foundation's assistance with project management where the submitter leads the research. For more information, visit the Advisory Service page.						
	Project Source: Select an option 5						
	Project Source (If Other, Please Specify):						
	Proposed Project Name:						
	(75 characters or less)						



Thank you!

Victoria Hutchison

Senior Research Project Manager | Fire Protection Research Foundation vhutchison@nfpa.org





RESEARCH FOR THE NFPA MISSION